arXiv:1611.07395v1 [astro-ph.SR] 22 Nov 2016

Chromospheric activity on late-type star DM UMa using high resolution spectroscopic observations

LiYun Zhang[1,2], QingFeng Pi[1,2], Xianming L. Han [1,3], Liang Chang [2,4], and Daimei Wang[1,2]

ABSTRACT

We present new 14 high-resolution echelle spectra to discuss the level of chromospheric activity of DM UMa in He I D_3, Na I D_1, D_2, H_α, and Ca II infrared triplet lines (IRT). It is the first time to discover the emissions above the continuum in the He I D_3 lines on Feb. 9 and 10, 2015. The emission on Feb. 9 is the strongest one ever detected for DM UMa. We analyzed these chromospheric active indicators by employing the spectral subtraction technique. The subtracted spectra reveal weak emissions in the Na I D_1, D_2 lines, strong emission in the H_α line, and clear excess emissions in the Ca II IRT lines. Our values for the EW_{8542}/EW_{8498} ratio are on the low side, in the range of 1.0 - 1.7. There are also clear phase variations of the level of chromospheric activity in equivalent width (EW) light curves in these chromospheric active lines (especially the H_α line). These phenomena might be explained by flare events or rotational modulations of the level of chromospheric activity.

Subject headings: stars: individual: DM UMa – binaries: spectroscopic – stars: late-type – stars: chromospheres–stars: activity

1. Introduction

The prototype system RS CVn binary type was first proposed by Hall (1976), and later refined by Fekel et al. (1986) as the following: 1. A RS CVn binary system is a binary where at least one component shows strong Ca II H&K emissions; 2. It displays light curve variations that can not be attributed to pulsation, eclipse or ellipticity; 3. The more active component is a F, G or K subgiant or giant, and it is evolved. These binary systems are characterized by strong levels of magnetic activity that present as photospheric starspots, chromospheric emissions, transition region emissions, coronal X-ray radiation, and flare events in optical, X-ray, ultraviolet, and radio wavelengths (Berdyugina 2005; Hall et al. 2008; Montes et al. 2004; Güdel

[1]Department of Physics, College of Science, Guizhou University and NAOC-GZU-Sponsored Center for Astronomy, Guizhou University, Guiyang 550025, P.R. China (e-mail: liy_zhang@hotmail.com)

[2]Key Laboratory for the Structure and Evolution of Celestial Objects of Yunnan Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650011, P.R. China

[3]Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Butler University, Indianapolis, IN 46208, USA

[4]National Astronomical Observatories/Yunnan Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming, 650011, P.R. China

2002, 2004; Zhang et al. 2015; etc). Studying RS CVn binary systems allows us to obtain important information concerning their magnetic activity, stellar dynamo, thus providing valuable constraints on theoretical models (Berdyugina 2005; Hall et al. 2008; etc).

DM UMa (BD+61 1211, SAO 15338, K0-1 IV-III) is a single-lined spectroscopic binary with an orbital period of 7.5 days. It is one of the most active chromospheric active member of the RS CVn binary type (Crampton et al. 1979; Bopp 1982; Hatzes 1995; O'Neal et al. 1998; Eker et al. 2008; etc) and the optical counterpart of the X-ray source 2A 1052+606 (Liller et al. 1978; Charles et al. 1979; Schwart et al. 1979). Its level of magnetic activity has been observed from X-rays to radio wavelengths (Kashyap & Drake 1999; Mutle & Lestrade 1985; Wendker 1995; Mohin & Raveendran 1992; Mitrou et al. 1996; Mitrou et al. 1997; Morris & Mutel 1988).

The H_α emission was first observed on two spectrograms by Liller et al. (1978). Later, Schwartz et al. (1979) found that the H_α emission was highly variable. Crampton et al. (1979) also confirmed the variability in H_α emission and observed for the first time the variable emissions in the Ca II H&K lines. They also determined that the spectroscopic period of 7.492 days and the semi-amplitude of 28 ± 2 km s^{-1} from the radial velocity curve of DM UMa. Tan & Liu (1985) presented five high-resolution observations, and all of them showed strong emission and variability in the H_α line. Nations & Ramsey (1986) have reported that the strength of H_α emission in DM UMa varied by a factor of 3 over its orbital period during their 1991 observing run. They analyzed the excess Ca II H&K, H_ϵ and H_α lines using the spectral subtraction method (Montes et al. 1995a; Montes et al. 1995b; Montes et al. 1996; Montes et al. 1997). The resulting subtraction spectra showed strong H_α emission with pronounced wings, emission in the Ca II H&K lines, and weak emission in the H_ϵ line. Hatzes (1995) presented a doppler image of the spot distribution in 1993, and also found the behaviour of the chromospheric activity indicators of emission in the H_α line and absorption in the He I D_3 line. Hatzes (1995) found that the *EW* varied as a function of orbital phase in the H_α emission line and the He I D_3 absorption line.

Kimble et al. (1981) obtained the first light curve of DM UMa in 1979 and the photometric period of 7.4 days. They detected the light curve wave-like distortion due to the dark starspot with its amplitude of 0.36 mag in *B* band and 0.32 mag in *V* band. Mohin et al. (1985) obtained light curves in 1980 - 1984, and found that the shape and amplitude of the light curves had changed in the time scale of a few years, which they explained physically by using a two-starspot model. Heckert et al. (1988, 1990) and Heckert (1990) published the light curves of DM UMa in *UBV* bands in 1986-1987, and 1988-1989. Mohin & Raveendran (1994) determined that the variation amplitude increased by 0.2 mag in the *V* magnitude, and that the spot position and area had changed during the period from 1988 to 1991. There was a phase dependence of spot filling factor vs. phase for DM Uma using a technique of molecular absorption bands (O'Neal 2004). Later, Rosario et al. (2009) presented extensive *UBVRI* photometry at different observatories from 1988 to 2008. They obtained highly variable light curves and tried to search for evidence of any cyclic spot activities (Rosario et al. 2009). They discovered that the spot filling factors derived from the TiO-band strengths (O'Neal 2006) are anti-correlated with the *V* magnitudes, and the excess flux in *U* and *B* bands originates from plages or facular regions associated with the spot activities (Rosario et al. 2009). Taş and Evren (2012) discussed the multi-color photometric observations of DM UMa in the time interval between 1980 and 2009 (Heckert 2012; etc) and revealed the period estimations of 51.2 ± 2.8 years and 15.1 ± 0.7

years superimposed on it. This cyclic variation on a timescale of 17 year was found based on observations from a time span of twenty eight years, and was caused by temperature changes or hot surface regions (Oláh et al. 2014).

Chromospherically active stars and their properties have been studied previously by many authors (Strassmeier et al. 1990, 1993; Doyle et al. 1994; Fekel et al. 2005; Montes et al. 2004; Hall 2008; Biazzo et al. 2009; Frasca et al. 2010; Cao & Gu 2015; Zhang et al. 2015; etc). The spectral subtraction technique has been widely applied to different chromospheric activity indicators (Barden 1985; Montes et al. 2004; Zhang et al. 2015; etc). In this paper, we present additional high-resolution spectroscopic observations of DM UMa, and the analyses of the behaviour and properties of the level of chromospheric activity in the He I D_3, Na I D_1, D_2, H_α, and Ca II IRT lines using the spectral subtraction technique.

2. Observations

We carried out new high-resolution spectroscopic observations of DM UMa on fourteen nights from Jan. 24 - Feb. 15, 2015 using the Coudé echelle spectrograph on the 1.8-m telescope (Wei et al. 2000; Rao et al. 2008) at Lijiang Station of the Yunnan Astronomical Observatories of China. A 2048×2048 pixel Tektronix CCD detector is used and the spectral resolution is about 50, 000 in the red spectral region of 5760-11960 Å. The limiting magnitude of the equipment is about 11.5 mag at present. The spectral resolution in terms of the FWHM of the arc comparison lines is 0.112 Å in the He I D_3, Na I D_1, D_2 lines, 0.119 Å in the H_α line, 0.133 Å in the Ca II IRT 8498 Å line, 0.145 Å in the Ca II IRT 8542 Å line, and 0.150 Å in the Ca II IRT 8662 Å line, respectively. We observed several similar inactive stars (HR 617 (K1 III), β Gem (K0 III), HR 4182 (G9 IV) and HR 495 (K2 IV)) whose spectral types and luminosity classes are similar to those of DM UMa, which are used to construct the synthesized spectra as the stellar photospheric contribution. We performed standard reduction of the spectra using IRAF packages[1], which involved CCD trim, bias subtraction, flat-field correction, cosmic-ray removal, background subtraction, and multi-spectrum extraction. We calibrated the wavelength using the spectra of a Th-Ar lamp. We normalized the observed spectra by a five-order polynomial fit. The normalized spectra are plotted in Fig. 1 (Left panel). We listed our observing log of DM UMa in Table 1, which included the observational data, the corresponding Heliocentric Julian Date (HJD), the observational exposure times, and the signal to noise ratios of different chromospheric active indicators.

[1]IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories (NOAO) in Tucson, Arizona, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

3. Analyses of the chromospheric activity

We obtained a total of fourteen spectra. Among these spectra, the Na I D lines show deep absorption but no obvious self-reversal core emission. The H_α line in all the spectra exhibits clear emission above the continuum. We calculated the *EW*s and line depths of DM UMa for the Na I D_1, D_2, and H_α lines. The line depth is used as a diagnostic criterion for the level of chromospheric activity (Mallik 1997; Eaton 1995; Mallik 1998; etc). All the Ca II IRT lines show filled-in absorption with self-reversal core emission, with some of them being above the continuum. For the Ca II IRT lines, it is impossible to measure the *EW* and line depth in the observed spectra because they exhibit clear self-reversal. The results are listed in Table 2, where the orbital phases of our observations were calculated using the ephemeris formula (HJD=2447623.383 $+7^d.4949$ E) given by Strassmeier et al. (1993). The *EW*s of the chromospheric active lines were evaluated on the spectra by integrating them over the emission profile using the IRAF *SPLOT* task. The methods for calculating the *EW*s and their uncertainties were similar to those in the previous paper in detail (Zhang & Gu 2008; Zhang et al. 2015; etc). We plotted the observed *EW*s and line depths of DM UMa vs phase or HJD in Fig. 2, where different symbols represent different chromospheric active indicators. As can be seen from Fig. 2, there are clear phase and time variation in the *EW*s of the H_α line. These phenomenon might be explained by flare events or by chromospheric rotational modulations.

It is well known that the He I D_3 line is a probe for detecting flare-like events (Zirin 1988). Here we report that we detected a clear emission in the He I D_3 line on Feb. 09 in 2015 and a weak emission on Feb. 10, 2015, which had never been detected previously. The *EW*s of the observed spectra in DM UMa are 0.118 ± 0.013 Å on Feb 9, 2015, and 0.042 ± 0.002 Å on Feb 10, 2015 respectively. For better comparisons, we plotted the spectra with He I D_3 lines for three consecutive days in Fig. 3. For the spectrum on Feb. 8, there are no emission in the He I D_3 line. The obvious emissions in the He I D_3 line in the Feb. 9 & 10 spectra means that there might be strong flare-like events in these two days.

We analyzed our DM UMa spectra with a synthetical spectral subtraction technique using the *STARMOD* program developed by Barden (1985) and Montes et al. (1997). The synthesized spectra were built from radial-velocity shifted and rotationally broadened spectrum of a single inactive star. β Gem was the best template star to construct such a synthesized spectrum. In the program, we determined the rotational velocity ($v\sin i$) value of DM UMa using the 11th order spectrum in the wavelength range 6387-6487 Å because there are a lot of independent metallic spectra lines (Zhang & Gu 2008). Using the *STARMOD* program, our calculated $v\sin i$ of DM UMa (28.7 km s^{-1}) is close to the previous results of 26 km s^{-1} derived by Hatzes (1995), 27 km s^{-1} by Tan & Liu (1985) and 28 km s^{-1} by Crampton et al. (1979). We plotted all the observed, synthesized and subtracted spectra of DM UMa in Fig. 1. We applied the spectral subtraction technique to these spectra and the results reveal that the cores of the Na I D_1, D_2 excess lines show weak emissions (see Fig. 1). All the observed spectra exhibit clear H_α emission above the continuum, and their corresponding excess spectra show broad and strong excess emissions. The behaviour of the H_α line is consistent with that obtained by Montes et al. (1995a, 1995b), Hatzes (1995), and Montes et al. (1997). The excess spectra of the Ca II IRT lines show clear excess emissions in their cores. The parameters of the excess emissions are listed in Table 3, which include HJD, the phase, the net *EW*s of Na I D_1, D_2, H_α and Ca II IRT lines and the ratio of Ca II EW_{8542} to EW_{8498}. We also plotted them in Fig. 2.

The value of the ratio (EW_{8542}/EW_{8498}) is also an indicator for the chromospheric active phenomenon

and was used to differentiate the plage or prominence. Our values for the ratio EW_{8542}/EW_{8498} of DM UMa are about 1.0-1.7 (see Table 3). These small values mean that there are optically thick emissions in possible stellar plage regions. These low values were also discovered in many late-type stars (Arévalo & Lázaro 1999; Cao & Gu 2012; Gu et al. 2002; Gálvez et al. 2009; Montes et al. 2001; Zhang et al. 2015).

4. Discussions and conclusion

The DM UMa spectra that we obtained exhibit deep absorptions in the Na I D_1, D_2 lines, strong emissions above continuum in the H_α line, clear self-reversal emissions (some of them are above continuum) in the strong filled-in absorptions in the Ca II IRT lines. The corresponding subtraction spectra reveals weak emissions in the cores of the Na I D_1, D_2 lines, and strong emission in the H_α line and clear emissions in the Ca II IRT lines. The excess chromospheric emission is larger than the results obtained by Montes et al. (1995a, 1995b, 1997), and Hatzes (1995). It is the largest emission with an EW of 5.586 Å for the observed spectra (see Table 2) and 7.839 Å (see Table 3) for the excess emission of DM UMa on Feb 9, 2015. All these confirmed the high level of chromospheric activity of DM UMa.

Our data allowed us to determine various chromospheric properties of DM UMa. We found that there is phase or time variation in the level of chromospheric activity of the Na I D_1, D_2, H_α and Ca II IRT lines (especially the H_α line). The orbital phases of all the Na I D_1, D_2, H_α and Ca II IRT lines with enhanced emissions were consistently located at around 0.5 (Fig. 2). The variations in the EWs of Na I D_1, D_2 and Ca II IRT are relative weak. This may be due to that they are the weak active lines among these chromospheric active indicators and affected by the presence of spot regions (Andretta et al. 1997; Montes et al. 1997). It is very difficult to distinguish flare events from cyclical variations for highly active stars. There is a slow rise and fall in the EWs of chromospheric active emission in the H_α line. These EW variations may be due to chromospheric rotational modulations, flare events or strong plages. In order to pinpoint which of these is the ultimate cause for this EW variation, we need complete phase coverage in a short time, such as several consecutive nights.

In order to study DM UMa's long term chromospheric variability, we compare in Fig. 4 our observed and chromospheric excess EWs with those provided by several authors. As can be seen from Fig. 4, EW does vary with the orbital phase. However, at different observation times, this phase dependence varies. The orbital phase of our chromospheric rotation modulation is similar to that obtained by Hatzes (1995) with the maximum occurring at a phase of 0.5, which is different from those obtained by Mohin & Raveendran (1994) and Nation & Ramsey (1986). The evidence of active longitudes in the chromosphere were also observed in other chromospherically active stars, such as PW And (López-Santiago et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2015), V383 Lac (Biazzo et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2015), VY Ari, IM Peg, HK lac (Biazzo et al. 2006), V889 Her (Frasca et al. 2010), LQ Hya (Cao & Gu 2014; Zhang et al. 2014; Flores Soriano et al. 2015), V711 Tau (García–Alvarez et al. 2003; Cao & Gu 2015), SZ Psc (Zhang & Gu 2008; Cao & Gu 2012), II Peg (Frasca et al. 2008; Gu et al. 2015), UX Ari (Gu et al. 2002), HD 22049 and HD 166 (Biazzo et al. 2007). The variation of the phase corresponding to maximum EW for DM UMa from different observation

times indicates that there are long-term variations in the level of chromospheric activity for DM UMa. The evolution of chromospheric activity was also found in several other chromospherically active stars, such as PW And, LQ Hya, SZ Psc and V711 Tau (López-Santiago et al. 2003; Cao & Gu 2014; Cao & Gu 2012; García–Alvarez et al. 2003).

The correlation between photospheric starspots and chromospheric plages has been studied using the simultaneous photometric and high-resolution spectroscopic observations (Biazzo et al. 2006; López-Santiago et al. 2003; Frasca et al. 2010; Cao & Gu 2014; Zhang et al. 2014; etc). Because there are no simultanenous photometric light curves with our high-resolution observations of DM UMa, we are not able to directly study the relationship between photospheric starspot activity and chromospheric activity using the method, as done by the above authors. Moreover, the starspot parameters could also be obtained using the ratio of the depth of atomic line (Catalano et al. 2002; O'Neal 2006) and molecular absorption bands (O'Neal et al. 1998; O'Neal 2004). The orbital phase of our chromospheric activity is similar to that of starspot in several light curves, and different with other light curves (Rosario et al. 2009; Taş & Evren 2012; Heckert 2012; O'Neal 2014). Hatzes (1995) found the maxima for H_α emission and He I D_3 absorption was coincident in time with the starspot distribution by Doppler imaging. All these results gave supporting evidence for the existence of active longitudes of the level of magnetic activity in DM UMa. Although there are long-term variations in the level of chromospheric activity, more data are needed to search for a chromospheric active cycle, similar to that for the photometric cycle (Rosario et al. 2009; Taş & Evren 2012; Oláh et al. 2014).

The He I D_3 (5876 Å) is a valuable probe for the stellar activity. For the Sun, there is strong absorption in the He I D_3 line for plages and weak flares (Landman 1981), and emission for the strong flares (Zirin 1988). Hatzes (1995) first discussed the absorption of He I D_3 line in DM UMa and they also found that there were variable absorptions. Most of our DM UMa spectra have weak absorptions, with two He I D_3 emission lines having above the continuum emissions during the observations, which may be caused by the flare events. The *EW* excess for He I D_3 emission is 0.166 ± 0.006 Å in Feb 9, 2015, and 0.066 ± 0.006 Å on Feb 10, 2015. The Feb 9, 2015 He I D_3 emission is the strongest emission ever detected for DM UMa. As can be seen from Fig. 1, the wing of H_α line is broadening while the He I D_3 line becomes an emission line. Moreover, the *EW*s are also increasing in the excess emission in the Na I D_1, D_2, H_α and Ca II IRT lines on these days (Figs. 2). This behavior of the chromospheric activity indicators is consistent with a flare-like event. He I D_3 emission serves as a very useful tool in studying stellar activity because it is found in other active stars, such as II Peg (Huenemoerder & Ramsey 1987; Frasca et al. 2008; Gu & Tan 2003; Berdyugina et al. 1999; Gu et al. 2015), V410 Tau (Welty & Ramsey 1995), UX Ari (Montes et al. 1996b; Montes et al. 1997; Gu et al. 2002), HR 1099 (García-Alvarez et al. 2003), and LQ Hya (Montes et al. 1999).

In order to compare the level of chromospheric activity between DM UMa and other stars, we collected the parameters and active properties of chromospheric active system with spectral type and rotational velocity similar to those for DM UMa. The results are shown in Table 4 (parameters are taken from the paper of Eker et al. (2008)), which includes star name, spectral type, magnitude, orbital period, rotational velocity, and the behaviour of the He I D_3, Ca II H&K and H_α lines. By comparing the behaviour of Ca II H&K and H_α lines, the emission of He I D_3 and the values of chromospheric *EW*s of H_α line, DM UMa has the highest level of chromospheric activity among these stars. It is also the only one with He I D_3 emission. Therefore

DM UMa is a very interesting target to study stellar magnetic activity, and warrants further investigations.

This work was supported in part by the Joint Fund of Astronomy of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) and the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) Nos. U1431114 and 11263001. We would like to thank reviewer's comments and suggestions, which led to great improvement in our manuscript. This work was also partially supported by the Open Project Program of the Key Laboratory of Optical Astronomy, NAOC, CAS. This research has made use of the SIMBAD database, operated at CDS, Strasbourg, France. We are very grateful to Dr. Gu and Dr. Montes for their help.

REFERENCES

Andretta, V., Doyle, J. G., Byrne, P. B., 1997, A&A, 322, 266

Arévalo, M. J., & Lázaro, C., 1999, AJ, 118, 1015

Balona, L. A., 1987, SAAOC, 11, 1

Barden, S. C., 1985, ApJ, 295, 162

Baliunas, S. L., Donahue, R. A., Soon, W. H., et al., 1995, ApJ, 438, 269

Berdyugina, S. V., Ilyin, I., Tuominen, I., 1999, A&A, 349, 863

Berdyugina, S. V., 2005, Living Rev. Solar Phys., 2, 8

Biazzo, K., Frasca, A., Catalano, S., et al., 2006, A&A, 446, 1129

Biazzo, K., Frasca, A., Henry, G. W., et al., 2007, ApJ, 656, 474

Biazzo, K., Frasca, A., Marilli, E. et al., 2009, A&A, 499, 579

Bopp, B. W., & Hearnshaw, J. B., 1983, ApJ, 267, 653

Bopp, B. W., 1982, in Activity in Red-Dwarf Stars, IAU Colloq. 71, eds. B. Byrne & M Rodono, Reidel, Dordrecht, P 363

Catalano, S., Biazzo, K., Frasca, A., Marilli, E., 2002, A&A, 394, 1009

Cao, D. T., & Gu, S. H., 2012, A&A, 538, 130

Cao D. T., & Gu S. H., 2014, AJ, 147, 38

Cao, D. T., & Gu, S. H., 2015, MNRAS, 449, 1380

Charles, P., Walter, F., Bowyer, S., 1979, Nature, 282, 691

Collier, A. C., Haynes, R. R., Slee, O. B., et al., 1982, MNRAS, 200, 869

Crampton, D., Dobias, J., Margon, B., 1979, ApJ, 234, 993

Cutispoto, G., 1998, A&AS, 131, 321

Doyle, J. G., Houdebine, E. R., Mathioudakis, M., et al., 1994, A&A, 285, 233

Eaton, J. A., 1995, AJ, 109, 1797

Eker, Z., Ak, N. F., Bilir, S., et al., 2008, MNRAS, 389, 1722

Fekel, F. C., Moffett, T. J., Henry, G. W., 1986, ApJS, 60, 551

Fekel, F. C., Henry, G. W., Lewis, C., 2005, AJ, 130, 794

Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., Montes, D., de Castro, E., et al., 1994, ApJS, 90, 433

Flores Soriano, M., Strassmeier, K. G., Weber, M., 2015, A&A, 575, 57

Forman, W., Jones, C., Cominsky, L., et al., 1978, ApJS, 38, 357

Frasca, A., & Catalano, S., 1994, A&A, 326, 779

Frasca, A., Biazzo, K., Kővári, Zs., et al., 2010, A&A, 518, 48

Frasca, A., Biazzo, K., Taş, G., et al., 2008, A&A, 479, 557

Frasca, A., Guillout, P., Marilli, E., et al., 2006, A&A, 454, 301

García-Alvarez, D., Foing, B. H., Montes, D., et al., 2003, A&A, 397, 285

Gálvez, M. C., Montes, D., Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., et al., 2007, A&A, 472, 587

Griffin, R. F., 1994, Observatory, 114, 102

Gu, S. H., Kim, K. M., Lee, B. C., 2015, IAUGA, 2254880

Gu, S. H., & Tan, H. S., 2003, 12th Cambridge Workshop on Cool Stars, Stellar Systems, and the Sun, p. 986

Gu, S. H., Tan, H. S., Shan, H. G., Zhang, F. H., 2002, A&A, 388, 889

Güdel, M., 2002, ARA&A, 40, 217

Güdel, M., 2004, ARA&A, 12, 71

Hall D. S., 1976, ASSL, 60, 287

Hall, J. C., 2008, Living Rev. Solar Phys., 5, 2

Hatzes, A. P., 1995, AJ, 109, 350

Heckert, P. A., 2012, JAD, 18, 5

Heckert, P. A., 1990, IBVS, 3446, 1

Heckert, P. A., Money, C. B., Hichman, M. A., et al., 1990, BAAS, 22, 837

Heckert, P. A., Summers, D., Harkey, R., et al., 1988, IBVS, 3274, 1

Huenemoerder, D. P., Ramsey, L. W., 1987, ApJ, 319, 392

Kashyap, V., & Drake, J. J., 1999, ApJ, 524, 988

Kimble, R. A., Kahn, S. M., Bowyer, S., 1981, ApJ, 251, 585

Landman, D. A., 1981, ApJ, 244, 345

López-Santiago, J., Montes, D., Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., et al., 2003, A&A, 411, 489

Liller, W., McCrosky, R. E., Gursky, H., et al., 1978, IAU Circ., 3176, 2

Mallik, S. V., 1998, BASI, 26, 479

Mallik, S. V., 1997, A&AS, 124, 359

Mitrou, C. K., Doyle, J. G., Mathioudakis, M., et al., 1996, A&AS, 115, 61

Mitrou, C. K., Mathioudakis, M., Doyle, J. G., et al., 1997, A&A, 317, 776

Mohin, S., & Raveendran, A. V., 1992, A&A, 256, 487

Mohin, S., Raveendran, A. V., Mekkaden, M. V. et al., 1985, Ap&SS, 115, 353

Mohin, S., & Raveendran, A. V., 1994, A&A, 286, 824

Montes, D., Crespo-Chacón, I., Gálvez, M. C., et al., 2004, LNEA, 1, 119

Montes, D., Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., de Castro, E., et al., 1994, A&A, 285, 609

Montes, D., de Castro, E., Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., et al., 1995a, A&As, 114, 287

Montes, D., Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., de Castro, E., et al., 1995b, A&As, 109, 135

Montes, D., Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., de Castro, E., et al., 1995c, A&A, 294, 165

Montes, D., Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., de Castro, E., et al., 2000, A&AS, 146, 103

Montes, D., Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., Cornide, M., et al., 1996, A&A, 312, 221

Montes, D., Fernández-Figueroa, M. J., de Castro, E., et al., 1997, A&AS, 125, 263

Montes, D., Saar, S. H., Collier Cameron, A., Unruh, Y. C., 1999, MNRAS, 305, 45

Montes, D., López-Santiago, J., Gálvez, M. C., et al., 2001, MNRAS, 328, 45

Morris, D. H., & Mutel, R. L., 1988, AJ, 95, 204

Mutel, R. L., Lesrade, J. F., 1985, AJ, 90, 493

Nations, H. L., Ramsey, L. W., 1986, AJ, 90, 493

O'Neal, D., Neff, J. E., Saar, S. H., 1998, ApJ, 507, 919

O'Neal, D., Neff, J. E., Saar, S. H., et al., 2004, AJ, 128, 1802

O'Neal, D., 2006, ApJ, 645, 659

Oláh, K., Moór, A., Kovári, Zs. et al., 2014, A&A, 572, 94

Pasquini, L., & Lindgren, H., 1994, A&A, 283, 179

Rosario, M. J., Heckert, P. A., Mekkaden, M. V., & Raveendran, A. V., 2009, MNRAS, 394, 872

Rao, C. H., Jiang, W. H., Zhang Y. D., et al., 2008, SPIE, 7015E, 5YR

Schwartz, D. A., Fabbiano, G., Griffiths, R. E., et al., 1979, AJ, 84, 1560

Strassmeier, K. G., Fekel, F C., Bopp, B. W., et al., 1990, ApJS, 72, 191

Strassmeier, K. G., Hall, D. S., Fekel, F. C., et al., 1993, A&AS, 100, 173

Strassmeier, K. G., Handler, G., Paunzen, E., Rauth, M., 1994, A&A, 281, 855

Strassmeier, K., Washuettl, A., Granzer, Th., et al., 2000, A&AS, 142 275

Tan, H. S., & Liu, X. F., 1985, IBVS, 2669, 1

Taş, G., & Evren, S., 2012, BaltA, 21, 435

Takalo, L. O., & Nousek, J. A., 1988, ApJ, 326, 779

Welty, A. D., & Ramsey, L. W., 1995, AJ, 110, 336

Wei, K., Zhang, X. J., Xian, H., et al., 2000, Chinese Optics Letter, 8, 1019

Wendker, H. J., 1995, A&AS, 109, 177

Zhang, L. Y., & Gu, S. H., 2008, A&A, 487, 709

Zhang, L. Y., Pi, Qingfeng., Zhu, Zhongzhong., et al., 2014, NewA, 32, 1

Zhang, L. Y., Pi, Qingfeng., Zhu, Zhongzhong., 2015, RAA, 15, 252

Zirin, H., 1988, in Astrophysics of the Sun. Cambridge University Press

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.

Table 1: Observational log of DM UMa.

Date	HJD	Exp. time	S/N				
	2450000+ days	(s)	Na I	H_α	Ca II IRTλ8498	Ca II IRTλ8542	Ca II IRTλ8662
1/24/2015	7046.35136	1800	40	46	40	47	46
1/26/2015	7049.35378	2400	52	58	47	53	50
1/27/2015	7050.32868	2400	55	64	50	57	52
1/28/2015	7051.32824	2400	56	63	60	61	61
2/03/2015	7057.33445	3600	50	58	55	64	62
2/05/2015	7059.31556	3600	59	68	55	62	56
2/06/2015	7060.36109	2400	51	60	48	57	54
2/07/2015	7061.33654	3600	63	73	63	70	65
2/08/2015	7062.25021	2400	53	61	49	55	53
2/09/2015	7063.26832	3600	66	82	68	78	74
2/10/2015	7064.25727	3600	64	77	60	71	67
2/12/2015	7066.26788	3600	62	71	58	70	66
2/14/2015	7068.27980	3600	69	80	65	77	70
2/15/2015	7069.22819	3600	46	62	49	61	59

Table 2: Our EW results and line depths for the chromospheric active indicators of the observed Na I D_1, D_2, and H_α lines for DM UMa.

HJD(2450000+)	Phase	EW_{H_α}(Å)		$EW_{Na_I D_1}$(Å)		$EW_{Na_I D_2}$(Å)	
days	-	EW	line depth	EW	line depth	EW	line depth
7046.35136	0.251	2.929 ± 0.043	1.717 ± 0.007	0.357 ± 0.020	0.405 ± 0.003	0.97 ± 0.122	0.796 ± 0.074
7049.35378	0.651	1.909 ± 0.009	1.517 ± 0.002	0.368 ± 0.019	0.401 ± 0.012	1.066 ± 0.125	0.790 ± 0.077
7050.32868	0.781	1.142 ± 0.016	1.423 ± 0.006	0.366 ± 0.003	0.386 ± 0.001	1.017 ± 0.069	0.796 ± 0.001
7051.32824	0.915	1.223 ± 0.009	1.479 ± 0.008	0.341 ± 0.011	0.390 ± 0.001	1.057 ± 0.035	0.820 ± 0.028
7057.33445	0.716	1.462 ± 0.006	1.507 ± 0.009	0.351 ± 0.010	0.392 ± 0.002	1.082 ± 0.133	0.777 ± 0.062
7059.31556	0.980	1.211 ± 0.007	1.448 ± 0.009	0.359 ± 0.005	0.382 ± 0.017	0.998 ± 0.213	1.065 ± 0.164
7060.36109	0.120	1.591 ± 0.098	1.586 ± 0.008	0.339 ± 0.006	0.397 ± 0.004	1.082 ± 0.068	0.811 ± 0.010
7061.33654	0.250	1.485 ± 0.055	1.571 ± 0.009	0.374 ± 0.006	0.400 ± 0.012	1.134 ± 0.186	0.792 ± 0.050
7062.25021	0.372	1.357 ± 0.019	1.487 ± 0.011	0.348 ± 0.006	0.402 ± 0.003	1.192 ± 0.118	0.866 ± 0.114
7063.26832	0.508	5.586 ± 0.312	2.111 ± 0.005	0.414 ± 0.001	0.452 ± 0.020	1.16 ± 0.253	0.896 ± 0.262
7064.25727	0.640	3.266 ± 0.026	1.784 ± 0.024	0.375 ± 0.003	0.404 ± 0.002	1.115 ± 0.107	0.828 ± 0.086
7066.26788	0.908	1.375 ± 0.005	1.398 ± 0.012	0.350 ± 0.001	0.385 ± 0.002	1.063 ± 0.049	0.782 ± 0.049
7068.27980	0.176	1.992 ± 0.019	1.672 ± 0.002	0.344 ± 0.002	0.406 ± 0.002	1.138 ± 0.23	0.804 ± 0.218
7069.22819	0.303	3.114 ± 0.095	1.896 ± 0.004	0.401 ± 0.010	0.454 ± 0.007	1.227 ± 0.146	0.781 ± 0.149

Table 3: Our EW results for excess emissions in the Na I D_1, D_2, H_α, and Ca II IRT lines for DM UMa.

HJD(2450000+,)	Phase	$EW_{Na_I D_1}$(Å)	$EW_{Na_I D_2}$(Å)	EW_{H_α}(Å)	$EW_{Ca_{II}8498}$(Å)	$EW_{Ca_{II}8542}$(Å)	$EW_{Ca_{II}8662}$(Å)	EW_{8542}/EW_{8498}
7046.35136	0.251	0.086 ± 0.003	0.072 ± 0.010	3.770 ± 0.205	0.854± 0.101	1.269± 0.014	0.842 ±0.037	1.486 ± 0.063
7049.35378	0.651	- ± -	- ± -	3.554 ± 0.119	1.207± 0.095	1.947± 0.166	- ± -	1.613 ± 0.089
7050.32868	0.781	0.085 ± 0.004	0.061 ± 0.008	2.681 ± 0.036	0.978± 0.046	1.257± 0.124	1.032 ±0.050	1.285 ± 0.090
7051.32824	0.915	0.089 ± 0.001	0.042 ± 0.001	2.858 ± 0.010	0.689± 0.047	0.974± 0.145	1.024 ±0.058	1.414 ± 0.162
7057.33445	0.716	0.094 ± 0.006	0.053 ± 0.006	3.105 ± 0.027	0.682± 0.031	0.986± 0.162	0.836 ±0.021	1.446 ± 0.206
7059.31556	0.980	0.083 ± 0.001	0.046 ± 0.005	2.819 ± 0.082	0.791± 0.040	1.186± 0.294	0.996 ±0.221	1.499 ± 0.338
7060.36109	0.120	0.079 ± 0.007	0.041 ± 0.004	3.333 ± 0.142	0.769± 0.040	1.199± 0.310	1.002 ±0.195	1.559 ± 0.370
7061.33654	0.250	0.107 ± 0.016	0.071 ± 0.006	3.189 ± 0.245	1.037± 0.036	1.243± 0.420	1.101 ±0.410	1.199 ± 0.376
7062.25021	0.372	0.086 ± 0.004	0.067 ± 0.004	3.081 ± 0.198	1.084± 0.080	1.348± 0.403	0.974 ±0.276	1.244 ± 0.312
7063.26832	0.508	0.214 ± 0.010	0.149 ± 0.001	7.839 ± 0.040	0.987± 0.012	1.499± 0.452	1.168 ±0.193	1.519 ± 0.450
7064.25727	0.640	0.106 ± 0.001	0.050 ± 0.003	5.336 ± 0.397	1.200± 0.018	1.289± 0.539	1.054 ±0.298	1.074 ± 0.449
7066.26788	0.908	0.072 ± 0.007	0.050 ± 0.001	2.914 ± 0.032	0.971± 0.096	1.060± 0.300	0.729 ±0.030	1.092 ± 0.218
7068.27980	0.176	0.100 ± 0.009	0.066 ± 0.010	3.673 ± 0.170	0.973± 0.031	1.145± 0.332	1.089 ±0.134	1.177 ± 0.314
7069.22819	0.303	0.167 ± 0.014	0.126 ± 0.013	4.983 ± 0.054	0.931± 0.128	1.363± 0.203	1.096 ±0.204	1.464 ± 0.124

Table 4: Physical parameters and chromospheric activity properties of active systems with spectral type and rotational velocity similar to DM UMa.

Name	Spectral type	Mag	Orbital period	Velocity	Behavior	Behavior	EW(Å)	He I D_3	Reference
			days	km s^{-1}	Ca II H&K	H_α	H_α	Emission	
DM UMa	K2-3IV	9.31	7.492	26.0	Strong emission	Strong emission	2.2-7.8	Yes	Hatzes 1995; Montes et al. 1995; Our paper
DF Cam	K2III	9.09	12.630	35.0	-	Emission	2.01	-	Frasca et al. 2006
V492 Per	K1III	6.31	21.289	27.2	Emission	Emission	0.02	-	Strassmeier et al. 1990
YZ Men	K1IIIp	7.52	19.310	20.0	Weak emission	-	-	-	Balona 1987
VV Lep	K1III+F8V	8.34	10.669	38.7	-	-	-	-	Eker et al. 2008
V344 Pup	K1IV-III	6.85	11.761	34.0	Weak emission	Absorption	-	-	Cutispoto 1998
Sigma Gem	K1III	4.14	19.604	27.5	-	Filled-in absorption	0.18-0.34	No	Montes et al. 2000
BD+40 2194	K0III	6.66	23.853	26.3	Strong emission	-	-	-	Griffin 1994
IL Hya	K1-2III-IV+G5V-IV	7.20	12.905	27.1	Strong emission	Weak emission	0.63	No	Montes et al. 2000
LZ Vel	K4III+K1-2III	7.18		28.0	Weak emission	Emission	1-2	-	Bopp & Hearnshaw 1983
DR Oct	G3-K0IV	8.60	5.574	21.0	-	-	-	-	Pasquini & Lindgren 1994
HU Vir	K2III	8.55	10.388	25.0	Strong emission	Emission	1.31-2.47		Montes et al. 2000
OX Ser	K0III	7.15	14.368	32.2	-	Filled-in absorption	-	-	Strassmeier et al. 2000
V2253 Oph	K0III	8.20	314.470	28.8	Strong emission	-	-	-	Strassmeier et al. 1994
2E1848.1+3305	K0III-IV	10.00	2.300	24.0	Emission	Emission	-	-	Takalo & Nousek 1988
V1764 Cyg	K1III+F	7.69	40.142	30.0	Emission	Emission	0.08	-	Frasca & Catalano 1994
1E1937.0+3027	K0III-IV	10.00	9.527	35.0	-	Excess emission	-	-	Takalo & Nousek 1988
V4091 Sgr	K0III	8.38	16.887	21.6	Strong emission	Absorption	-	-	Fekel et al. 1986
AT Cap	K2III+F?	8.87	23.206	26.1	Emission	Emission	-	-	Collier et al. 1982
HK Lac	K0III+F1V	6.66	24.428	21.1	Emission	Emission	0.63 - 1.50	No	Biazzo et al. 2006; Montes et al. 1997
V350 Lac	K2IV-III	6.31	17.755	34.4	Modern emission	Absorption	0.278	-	Montes et al. 1995c; Fernández-Figueroa et al.1994
IM Peg	K2III+K0V	5.55	24.649	26.5	Emission	Excess emission	-0.06 - 0.97	No	Biazzo et al. 2006;


DM UMa
The observed spectra
The synthesized spectra
2/15/2015
2/14/2015
2/12/2015
2/10/2015
2/09/2015
2/08/2015
2/07/2015
2/06/2015
2/05/2015
2/03/2015
1/28/2015
1/27/2015
1/26/2015
1/24/2015
He I D3
Na I D1D2
Intensity
Wavelength (Å)
DM UMa
The subtracted spectra
0.303
0.176
0.908
0.640
0.508
0.372
0.250
0.120
0.980
0.716
0.915
0.781
0.251
Na I D1D2
Wavelength (Å)

(a) Na I D_1, D_2


DM UMa
The observed spectra
The synthesized spectra
2/15/2015
2/14/2015
2/12/2015
2/10/2015
2/09/2015
2/08/2015
2/07/2015
2/06/2015
2/05/2015
2/03/2015
1/28/2015
1/27/2015
1/26/2015
1/24/2015
Hα
Intensity
Wavelength (Å)
The subtracted spectra
Phase
0.303
0.176
0.908
0.640
0.508
0.372
0.250
0.120
0.980
0.716
0.915
0.781
0.651
0.251
Hα
Wavelength (Å)



(c) Ca II IRT 8542

Fig. 1.— Observed, synthesized, and subtracted spectra of DM UMa for several chromospheric active indicators of the He I D_3, Na I D_1, D_2, H_α and Ca II IRT 8542 lines. The y-axis is the spectral intensity normalized by the source flux density and the intensities are shifted on the y-axes for clarity.



Fig. 2.— *EW*s of observed emissions and line depths, and excess emissions of the chromospheric indicators of DM UMa vs. orbital phase or HJD for the Na I D_1, D_2, H_α and Ca II IRT lines.



Fig. 3.— Observed spectra of He I D_3 and Na I D_1, D_2 in three consecutive days. Flare events were detected with clear emission at the He I D_3 line on Feb. 09, 2015 and weak emission on Feb. 10, 2015. The y-axis is the spectral intensity normalized by the source flux density and the intensities are shifted on the y-axes for clarity.



Fig. 4.— The Observed *EW*s and chromospheric excess emission *EW*s of DM UMa vs. orbital phase for the H_α line.